This article was downloaded by: *[Universidad De Sao Paulo]* On: *22 January 2011* Access details: *Access Details: [subscription number 919558214]* Publisher *Taylor & Francis* Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



## Journal of Statistical Computation and Simulation

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information: http://www.informaworld.com/smpp/title~content=t713650378

## General results for the beta-modified Weibull distribution

Saralees Nadarajah<sup>a</sup>; Gauss M. Cordeiro<sup>b</sup>; Edwin M. M. Ortega<sup>c</sup> <sup>a</sup> School of Mathematics, University of Manchester, Manchester, UK <sup>b</sup> Departamento de Estatística e Informática, Universidade Federal Rural of Pernambuco, Recife, PE, Brazil <sup>c</sup> Departamento de Ciências Exatas, Universidade de São Paulo, Piracicaba, SP, Brazil

First published on: 04 January 2011

**To cite this Article** Nadarajah, Saralees, Cordeiro, Gauss M. and Ortega, Edwin M. M.(2011) 'General results for the betamodified Weibull distribution', Journal of Statistical Computation and Simulation,, First published on: 04 January 2011 (iFirst)

To link to this Article: DOI: 10.1080/00949651003796343 URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00949651003796343

# PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use: http://www.informaworld.com/terms-and-conditions-of-access.pdf

This article may be used for research, teaching and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.



## General results for the beta-modified Weibull distribution

Saralees Nadarajah<sup>a</sup>\*, Gauss M. Cordeiro<sup>b</sup> and Edwin M.M. Ortega<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> School of Mathematics, University of Manchester, Manchester M13 9PL, UK; <sup>b</sup>Departamento de Estatística e Informática, Universidade Federal Rural of Pernambuco, 52171-900 Recife, PE, Brazil; <sup>c</sup>Departamento de Ciências Exatas, Universidade de São Paulo, 13418-900 Piracicaba, SP, Brazil

(Received 24 October 2009; final version received 22 March 2010)

We study in detail the so-called beta-modified Weibull distribution, motivated by the wide use of the Weibull distribution in practice, and also for the fact that the generalization provides a continuous crossover towards cases with different shapes. The new distribution is important since it contains as special sub-models some widely-known distributions, such as the generalized modified Weibull, beta Weibull, exponentiated Weibull, beta exponential, modified Weibull and Weibull distributions, among several others. It also provides more flexibility to analyse complex real data. Various mathematical properties of this distributions of the extreme values. Explicit expressions are also derived for the chf, mean deviations, Bonferroni and Lorenz curves, reliability and entropies. The estimation of parameters is approached by two methods: moments and maximum likelihood. We compare by simulation the performances of the estimates from these methods. We obtain the expected information matrix. Two applications are presented to illustrate the proposed distribution.

**Keywords:** beta distribution; exponentiated exponential; exponentiated Weibull; Fisher information matrix; generalized modified Weibull; maximum likelihood; modified Weibull; Weibull distribution

#### 1. Introduction

The Weibull distribution, having exponential and Rayleigh as special sub-models, is a very popular distribution for modelling lifetime data and for modelling phenomenon with monotone failure rates. When modelling monotone hazard rates, the Weibull distribution may be an initial choice because of its negatively and positively skewed density shapes. However, the Weibull distribution does not provide a reasonable parametric fit for modelling phenomenon with non-monotone failure rates such as the bathtub-shaped and the unimodal failure rates which are common in reliability and biological studies. Such bathtub hazard curves have nearly flat middle portions and the corresponding densities have a positive anti-mode. An example of bathtub-shaped failure rate is the human mortality experience with a high infant mortality rate which reduces rapidly to reach a low level. It then remains at that level for quite a few years before picking up again. Unimodal failure rates can be observed in course of a disease whose mortality reaches a peak after some finite period and then declines gradually.

ISSN 0094-9655 print/ISSN 1563-5163 online © 2011 Taylor & Francis DOI: 10.1080/00949651003796343 http://www.informaworld.com

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author. Email: saralees.nadarajah@manchester.ac.uk

The models that present bathtub-shaped failure rate are very useful in survival analysis. But, according to Nelson [1], the distributions presented in shape literature with this type of data, such as the distributions proposed by Hjorth [2], are sufficiently complex and, therefore, difficult to model. Other, later works had introduced new distributions for modelling bathtub-shaped failure rate. For example, Rajarshi and Rajarshi [3] presented a revision of these distributions and Haupt and Schabe (1992) [4] considered a lifetime model with bathtub-shaped failure rates. But, these models do not present much practicability to be used. However, in the last few years, new classes of distributions were proposed based on the modifications of these models is presented in [5]. We mention the models: the exponentiated Weibull (EW) [6,7], the additive Weibull [8], the extended Weibull [9], the modified Weibull (MW) [10], the beta exponential (BE) [11] and the extended flexible Weibull [12] distributions. Some more recent extensions are the generalized modified Weibull (GMW) [13] and the beta Weibull (BW) [14] distributions.

In this paper, we introduce a new distribution with five parameters, referred to as the betamodified Weibull (BMW) distribution, with the hope it will attract wider application in reliability, biology and other areas of research. This generalization contains as special sub-models several distributions such as the EW [6,7], exponentiated exponential (EE) [15,16], MW [10], generalized Rayleigh (GR) [17] and GMW distributions, among several others. The new distribution, due to its flexibility in accommodating all the forms of the risk function, seems to be an important distribution that can be used in a variety of problems in modelling survival data. The BMW distribution is not only convenient for modelling comfortable bathtub-shaped failure rate data but it is also suitable for testing goodness-of-fit of some special sub-models such as the EW, BW, MW and GMW distributions.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we define the BMW distribution, present some special sub-models and provide expansions for its cumulative distribution function (cdf) and probability density function (pdf). Two methods for simulating BMW variates and an expansion for the quantile function are provided in Section 3. General expansions for the moments are given in Section 4. Expansions for the moment generating function (mgf) and characteristic function (chf) are presented in Section 5. Section 6 is devoted to mean deviations about the mean and the median. Bonferroni and Lorenz curves are given in Section 7. The asymptotic distributions of the extreme values are discussed in Section 8. Estimation methods of moments and maximum likelihood, including the case of censoring, and the Fisher information matrix are presented in Section 9. The performances of the two estimation methods (moments and maximum likelihood) are also compared in this section. Section 10 provides two applications to real data. Section 11 ends with some conclusions. The paper also contains three appendices giving technical details.

#### 2. Model definition

The BMW distribution stems from the following general class: if G(x) denotes the cdf of a random variable, then a generalized class of distributions can be defined for a > 0 and b > 0 by

$$F(x) = I_{G(x)}(a, b) = \frac{1}{B(a, b)} \int_0^{G(x)} w^{a-1} (1-w)^{b-1} dw,$$
(1)

where  $B(\cdot, \cdot)$  and  $I_y(\cdot, \cdot)$  are defined in Appendix 1. This class of generalized distributions has been receiving increased attention over the last years, in particular after the works of Eugene *et al.* [18] and Jones [19]. The beta normal distribution obtained by taking G(x) in Equation (1) to be the cdf of the normal distribution was studied by Gupta and Nadarajah [20] and Nadarajah and Kotz [21]. Nadarajah and Kotz [21] and Barreto-Souza *et al.* [22] provided closed form expressions for the moments and discussed maximum-likelihood estimation for the beta Gumbel and beta Fréchet distributions, respectively. Consider the cdf of the MW distribution

$$G_{\alpha,\gamma,\lambda}(x) = 1 - \exp\{-\alpha x^{\gamma} \exp(\lambda x)\},\tag{2}$$

due to Lai *et al.* [10]. Setting Equation (2) into Equation (1) yields the cdf of the BMW distribution (with five positive parameters and x > 0)

$$F(x) = \frac{1}{B(a,b)} \int_0^{1 - \exp\{-\alpha x^{\gamma} \exp(\lambda x)\}} w^{a-1} (1-w)^{b-1} \,\mathrm{d}w.$$
(3)

The pdf and the hazard rate function (hrf) associated with Equation (3) since  $I_x(a, b) = I_{1-x}(b, a)$  are

$$f(x) = \frac{\alpha x^{\gamma - 1} (\gamma + \lambda x) \exp(\lambda x)}{B(a, b)} [1 - \exp\{-\alpha x^{\gamma} \exp(\lambda x)\}]^{a - 1} \exp\{-b\alpha x^{\gamma} \exp(\lambda x)\}, \quad (4)$$

and

$$h(x) = \frac{\alpha x^{\gamma - 1}(\gamma + \lambda x) \exp(\lambda x)}{B(a, b) I_{\exp\{-\alpha x^{\gamma} \exp(\lambda x)\}}(b, a)} [1 - \exp\{-\alpha x^{\gamma} \exp(\lambda x)\}]^{a - 1} \exp\{-b\alpha x^{\gamma} \exp(\lambda x)\},$$
(5)

respectively.

If X is a random variable with pdf (4), we write  $X \sim BMW(a, b, \alpha, \gamma, \lambda)$ . Plots of the BMW pdf (4) are shown in Figure 1(a)–(c). Figure 2 illustrates some of the possible shapes of the hazard function (5).

The BMW pdf is important since it includes as special sub-models several well-known distributions [23]. For  $\lambda = 0$ , it reduces to the BW distribution. If  $\gamma = 1$  in addition to  $\lambda = 0$ , it simplifies further to the BE distribution. The GMW distribution is also a special case when b = 1. If a = 1 in addition to b = 1, it yields the MW distribution. For b = 1 and  $\lambda = 0$ , the BMW distribution reduces to the EW distribution. If  $\gamma = 1$  in addition to b = 1 and  $\lambda = 0$ , the BMW distribution becomes the EE distribution. For  $\gamma = 2$ ,  $\lambda = 0$  and b = 1, the BMW distribution reduces to the GR distribution. The Weibull distribution is clearly the simple special case for a = b = 1 and  $\lambda = 0$ . Other special sub-models of the BMW distribution are: the beta-modified Rayleigh (BMR), beta-modified exponential (BME), generalized modified Rayleigh (GMR), generalized modified exponential (GME), beta Rayleigh (BR), modified Rayleigh (MR) and modified exponential (ME), all sub-models reported in Silva *et al.* [23].

The asymptotes of Equations (3)–(5) as  $x \to 0, \infty$  are given by

$$F(x) \sim \frac{\alpha^a}{aB(a,b)} x^{\gamma a}$$

as  $x \to 0$ ,

$$F(x) \sim 1 - \frac{1}{bB(a,b)} \exp\{-b\alpha x^{\gamma} \exp(\lambda x)\}$$

as  $x \to \infty$ ,

$$f(x) \sim \frac{\gamma \alpha^a}{B(a,b)} x^{\gamma a-1}$$

as  $x \to 0$ ,

$$f(x) \sim \frac{\alpha}{B(a,b)} x^{\gamma-1} (\gamma + \lambda x) \exp\{\lambda x - b\alpha x^{\gamma} \exp(\lambda x)\}$$



Figure 1. (Available in colour online). Plots of the BMW pdf for some parameter values. (a) Parameter values  $\alpha = 1$ ,  $\gamma = 0.5$  and  $\lambda = 0.5$ . (b) Parameter values  $\alpha = 0.1$ ,  $\gamma = 0.5$  and  $\lambda = 1$ .



Figure 2. (Available in colour online). Plots of the hazard rate function (5) (increasing, decreasing, unimodal, bathtub shaped) for some parameter values.

as  $x \to \infty$ ,

$$au(x) \sim rac{\gamma lpha^a}{B(a,b)} x^{\gamma a-1}$$

as  $x \to 0$ , and

$$\tau(x) \sim \alpha b x^{\gamma-1} (\gamma + \lambda x) \exp(\lambda x)$$

as  $x \to \infty$ . Note that the lower tails of the pdf are polynomials. The hazard rate always increases as  $x \to \infty$ . The initial hazard rate can be increasing or decreasing depending on whether  $\gamma a > 1$  or  $\gamma a < 1$ .

Throughout this paper, we use the following representations for Equations (3) and (4) due to Silva *et al.* [23]:

$$F(x) = 1 - \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} w_j \{1 - G_{\alpha(b+j),\gamma,\lambda}(x)\},\$$

and

$$f(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} w_j g_{\alpha(b+j),\gamma,\lambda}(x), \tag{6}$$

where  $g_{\alpha(b+j),\gamma,\lambda}(x) = dG_{\alpha(b+j),\gamma,\lambda}(x)/dx$  and

$$w_{j} = \frac{(-1)^{j} \Gamma(a)}{B(a,b) \Gamma(a-j)(b+j)j!},$$
(7)

where  $\Gamma(\cdot)$  is defined in Appendix 1. Clearly, expansion (6) reveals that the BMW pdf is a mixture of MW densities (holding for any parameter values). It is very useful to derive the ordinary, central, inverse and factorial moments of the BMW distribution from a weighted infinite (or finite if *a* is an integer) linear combination of those quantities for MW distributions.

We shall also use the following result due to Carrasco et al. [13, Section 4, Equations (5)-(7)]:

$$\int_{A} \kappa(x) \mathrm{d}G_{\alpha,\gamma,\lambda}(x) = \alpha \int_{A} \kappa \left( \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{j} x^{j/\gamma} \right) \exp(-\alpha x) \,\mathrm{d}x,\tag{8}$$

for an integrable function  $\kappa(\cdot)$  and for an integrable set A, where

$$a_j = \frac{(-1)^{j+1} j^{j-2} \lambda^{j-1}}{(j-1)! \gamma^{j-1}}.$$
(9)

In fact, using the Lambert  $W(\cdot)$  function defined in Appendix 1, which is exactly equal to the  $F(\cdot)$  function given in Carrasco *et al.* [13, Section 4], we can rewrite Equation (8) as

$$\int_{A} \kappa(x) \, \mathrm{d}G_{\alpha,\gamma,\lambda}(x) = \alpha \int_{A} \kappa\left(\frac{\gamma}{\lambda} W\left(\frac{\lambda x^{1/\gamma}}{\gamma}\right)\right) \exp(-\alpha x) \, \mathrm{d}x. \tag{10}$$

The shape of the pdf (4) can be described analytically. The critical points of the pdf are the solutions of the equation:

$$\lambda + \frac{\gamma - 1}{x} + \frac{\lambda}{\gamma + \lambda x} = \alpha x^{\gamma - 1} (\gamma + \lambda x) \exp(\lambda x) \left[ b - \frac{a - 1}{\exp\{\alpha x^{\gamma} \exp(\lambda x)\} - 1} \right].$$
(11)

There may be more than one solution to Equation (11). If  $x = x_0$  is a root of Equation (11) then it corresponds to a local maximum, a local minimum or a point of inflexion depending on

whether  $\lambda(x_0) < 0$ ,  $\lambda(x_0) > 0$  or  $\lambda(x_0) = 0$ , where

$$\begin{split} \lambda(x) &= \lambda + \frac{1 - \gamma}{x^2} - \frac{\lambda^2}{(\gamma + \lambda x)^2} \\ &+ (a - 1)\alpha x^{\gamma - 2} \exp(\lambda x) \left[ \frac{(\lambda x + \gamma - 1)(\gamma + \lambda x) + \lambda x}{\exp(\lambda x)\} - 1} - \frac{\alpha x^{\gamma} (\gamma + \lambda x)^2 \exp(\lambda x)}{\{\exp\{\alpha x^{\gamma} \exp(\lambda x)\} - 1\}^2} \right] \\ &- b\alpha x^{\gamma - 2} \exp(\lambda x) \{(\gamma + \lambda x - 1)(\gamma + \lambda x) + \lambda x\}. \end{split}$$

#### 3. Simulation and quantile function

We present two methods for simulation from the BMW distribution in Equation (3). The first uses the inversion method. Let U be a uniform variate on the unit interval [0, 1]. Setting

$$I_{1-\exp\{-\alpha X^{\gamma} \exp(\lambda X)\}}(a,b) = U$$

and solving, we see that BMW variates X can be obtained as roots of the equation

$$\log X + \lambda X + \log \alpha - \log[-\log\{1 - I_{U}^{-1}(a, b)\}] = 0$$

where  $I_{\mu}^{-1}(a, b)$  denotes the inverse of the incomplete beta function ratio.

Our second method for simulation from the BMW distribution is based on the rejection method. Take *h* to be the pdf of a gamma random variable with shape parameter  $\gamma$  and scale parameter  $\lambda$ . Define a constant *M* by

$$M = \frac{\alpha \Gamma(\gamma)}{\lambda^{\gamma}} \exp(M^*),$$

where

$$M^* = \sup_{x>0} \{ \log(\gamma + \lambda x) + 2\lambda x - \alpha x^{\gamma} \exp(\lambda x) \}.$$

Then, the following scheme holds for simulating BMW variates:

1. Simulate X = x from the pdf h;

- 2. Simulate Y = VMg(x), where V is a beta random variable with shape parameters a and b;
- 3. Accept X = x as a BMW variate if Y < f(x). If  $Y \ge f(x)$  return to step 2.

Note that routines are widely available for simulation from the gamma distribution.

We now give an expansion for the quantile function  $q = F^{-1}(p)$ . First, we have  $p = F(q) = I_s(a, b)$ , where  $s = G_{\alpha, \gamma, \lambda}(q) = 1 - \exp\{-\alpha q^{\gamma} \exp(\lambda q)\}$ . From the  $W(\cdot)$  function, we can express q in terms of s as

$$q = \frac{\gamma}{\lambda} W\left(\frac{\lambda [-\alpha^{-1}\log(1+s)]^{1/\gamma}}{\gamma}\right).$$
(12)

The  $W(\cdot)$  function can be calculated easily using Mathematica, for example,

$$W(z) = z - z^{2} + \frac{3z^{3}}{2} - \frac{8z^{4}}{3} + \frac{125z^{5}}{24} - \frac{54z^{6}}{5} + \frac{16807z^{7}}{720} - \frac{16384z^{8}}{315} + \frac{531441z^{9}}{4480} - \frac{156250z^{10}}{567} + O(z^{11}).$$

Further, it is possible to obtain *s* as a function of *p* from some expansions for the inverse of the incomplete beta function ratio  $s = I_p^{-1}(a, b)$ . One of them can be found on the Wolfram website

(http://functions.wolfram.com/06.23.06.0004.01) as

where  $w = [apB(a, b)]^{1/a}$  for a > 0. Inserting the last expansion in Equation (12), q is expressed in terms of p.

#### 4. Moments

Let  $X \sim BMW(a, b, c, \alpha, \gamma, \lambda)$ . Combining Equations (6) and (8), the kth moment of X follows as

$$E(X^{k}) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} w_{j} I_{1}(j,k),$$
(13)

where

$$I_1(j,k) = \alpha(b+j) \int_0^\infty \left(\sum_{m=1}^\infty a_m x^{m/\gamma}\right)^k \exp\{-\alpha(b+j)x\} dx$$
$$= \alpha(b+j) \sum_{m_1=1}^\infty \cdots \sum_{m_k=1}^\infty a_{m_1} \cdots a_{m_k} \times \int_0^\infty x^{(m_1+\dots+m_k)/\gamma} \exp\{-\alpha(b+j)x\} dx,$$

and thus

$$I_1(j,k) = \sum_{m_1=1}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{m_k=1}^{\infty} a_{m_1} \cdots a_{m_k} \times \{\alpha(b+j)\}^{-(m_1+\dots+m_k)/\gamma} \Gamma\left(\frac{m_1+\dots+m_k}{\gamma}+1\right), \quad (14)$$

where  $w_i$  and  $a_i$  are defined by Equations (7) and (9), respectively.

A much simpler representation for the *k*th moment, using Equation (10) and Equation (11) in Corless *et al.* [24], can be obtained as

$$I_{1}(j,k) = \alpha(b+j) \int_{0}^{\infty} \left(\gamma W\left(\frac{x^{1/\gamma}}{\gamma}\right)\right)^{k} \exp\{-\alpha(b+j)x\} dx$$
  
$$= \alpha(b+j)k(-\gamma)^{k} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n}n^{n-k}(n-1)\cdots(n-k+1)}{n!\gamma^{n}}$$
  
$$\times \int_{0}^{\infty} x^{n/\gamma} \exp\{-\alpha(b+j)x\} dx$$
  
$$= k(-\gamma)^{k} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n}n^{n-k}(n-1)\cdots(n-k+1)}{n!\gamma^{n}\{\alpha(b+j)\}^{n/\gamma}} \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{\gamma}+1\right).$$
(15)

Equation (15) gives a representation for  $E(X^k)$  involving only a doubly infinite series.

#### S. Nadarajah et al.

For lifetime models, it is also of interest to know what  $E(X^k | X > x)$  is. Using Equations (6) and (8), one can show that

$$E(X^k \mid X > x) = \frac{1}{I_{\exp\{-\alpha x^{\gamma} \exp(\lambda x)\}}(b, a)} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} w_j I_2(j, k),$$

where

$$I_{2}(j,k) = \sum_{m_{1}=1}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{m_{k}=1}^{\infty} a_{m_{1}} \cdots a_{m_{k}} \{\alpha(b+j)\}^{-(m_{1}+\dots+m_{k})/\gamma} \Gamma\left(\frac{m_{1}+\dots+m_{k}}{\gamma}+1, \alpha(b+j)x\right),$$

where  $w_j$  and  $a_j$  are defined by Equations (7) and (9), respectively, and  $\Gamma(\cdot, \cdot)$  is defined in Appendix 1.

A much simpler representation for  $E(X^k | X > x)$ , using Equation (10) and Equation (11) in Corless *et al.* [24], can be obtained as

$$I_{2}(j,k) = k(-\gamma)^{k} \lambda^{-k} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-\lambda)^{n} n^{n-k} (n-1) \cdots (n-k+1)}{n! \gamma^{n} \{\alpha(b+j)\}^{n/\gamma}} \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{\gamma} + 1, \alpha(b+j)x\right).$$
(16)



Figure 3. Skewness and kurtosis of the BMW distribution as a function of the parameter *a* for some values of *b*.



Figure 4. Skewness and kurtosis of the BMW distribution as a function of the parameter b for some values of a.

Again Equation (16) gives a representation for  $E(X^k | X > x)$  involving only a doubly infinite series. The mean residual lifetime function is E(X | X > x) - x.

The skewness and kurtosis measures can now be calculated from the ordinary moments using well-known relationships. Plots of the skewness and kurtosis for some choices of the parameter b as a function of the parameter a, and for some choices of the parameter a as a function of the parameter b, for  $\alpha = 0.7$ ,  $\gamma = 0.8$  and  $\lambda = 0.2$ , are shown in Figures 3 and 4, respectively. These figures immediately reveal that the skewness and kurtosis curves, respectively, as a function of a and b first decrease and then increase, whereas as functions of b and a they always decrease, in all cases the other parameter being fixed.

#### 5. Moment generating function and characteristic function

Let  $X \sim BMW(a, b, c, \alpha, \gamma, \lambda)$ . The mgf of X,  $M(t) = E[\exp(tX)]$ , and the chf of X,  $\phi(t) = E[\exp(itX)]$ , where  $i = \sqrt{-1}$ , can be expressed as

$$M(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^k}{k!} E(X^k)$$
 and  $\phi(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(it)^k}{k!} E(X^k)$ ,

where  $E(X^k)$  is given by Equation (13). We now give another representation for M(t) which can be expressed from Equation (6) as an infinite weighted sum

$$M(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} w_j M_j(t),$$
(17)

where  $M_j(t)$  is the mgf of the MW( $\alpha(b + j), \gamma, \lambda$ ) distribution and  $w_j$  is defined by Equation (7). By combining Equation (10) and Equation (16) in Corless *et al.* [24], a simple representation for  $M_j(t)$  can be written as

$$M_j(t) = \alpha(b+j) \int_0^\infty \exp\left\{\frac{t\gamma}{\lambda} W\left(\frac{\lambda x^{1/\gamma}}{\gamma}\right) - \alpha(b+j)x\right\} dx$$
$$= -\alpha(b+j)t\gamma \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{(-1)^n (n\lambda - t\gamma)^{n-1}}{n!\gamma^n} \int_0^\infty x^{n/\gamma} \exp\{-\alpha(b+j)x\} dx.$$

and then

$$M_j(t) = -t\gamma \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n (n\lambda - t\gamma)^{n-1}}{n!\gamma^n \{\alpha(b+j)\}^{n/\gamma}} \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{\gamma} + 1\right)$$

The corresponding chf is

$$\phi(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} w_j \phi_j(t), \qquad (18)$$

where

$$\phi_j(t) = -it\gamma \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n (n\lambda - it\gamma)^{n-1}}{n!\gamma^n \{\alpha(b+j)\}^{n/\gamma}} \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{\gamma} + 1\right)$$

Equations (17) and (18) are representations for M(t) and  $\phi(t)$ , respectively, involving only doubly infinite series.

#### 6. Mean deviations

Let  $X \sim BMW(a, b, c, \alpha, \gamma, \lambda)$ . The amount of scatter in X is evidently measured to some extent by the totality of deviations from the mean and median. These are known as the mean deviation about the mean and the mean deviation about the median – defined by

$$\delta_1(X) = \int_0^\infty |x - \mu| f(x) \, \mathrm{d}x \quad \text{and} \quad \delta_2(X) = \int_0^\infty |x - M| f(x) \, \mathrm{d}x,$$

respectively, where  $\mu = E(X)$  and M = Median(X) denotes the median. The measures  $\delta_1(X)$  and  $\delta_2(X)$  can be calculated using the relationships

$$\delta_1(X) = \int_0^\mu (\mu - x) f(x) \, dx + \int_\mu^\infty (x - \mu) f(x) \, dx$$
$$= 2\mu F(\mu) - 2\mu + 2 \int_\mu^\infty x f(x) \, dx,$$

and

$$\delta_2(X) = \int_0^M (M-x)f(x) \,\mathrm{d}x + \int_M^\infty (x-M)f(x) \,\mathrm{d}x$$
$$= 2\int_M^\infty x f(x) \,\mathrm{d}x - \mu.$$

Using Equations (6) and (8), one can show that

$$\int_{\mu}^{\infty} x f(x) \, \mathrm{d}x = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} w_j I_3(j) \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{M}^{\infty} x f(x) \, \mathrm{d}x = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} w_j I_4(j).$$

Here,

$$I_3(j) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_m \{\alpha(b+j)\}^{-m/\gamma} \Gamma\left(\frac{m}{\gamma} + 1, \alpha(b+j)\mu\right),$$

and

$$I_4(j) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_m \{\alpha(b+j)\}^{-m/\gamma} \Gamma\left(\frac{m}{\gamma} + 1, \alpha(b+j)M\right),$$

where  $w_i$  and  $a_i$  are defined by Equations (7) and (9), respectively. So, it follows that

$$\delta_1(X) = 2\mu F(\mu) - 2\mu + 2\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} w_j I_3(j),$$

$$\delta_2(X) = 2 \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} w_j I_4(j) - \mu.$$

#### 7. Bonferroni and Lorenz curves

Bonferroni and Lorenz curves have applications not only in economics to study income and poverty, but also in other fields like reliability, demography, insurance and medicine. For  $X \sim BMW(a, b, c, \alpha, \gamma, \lambda)$ , they are defined by

$$B(p) = \frac{1}{p\mu} \int_0^q x f(x) \, dx \quad \text{and} \quad L(p) = \frac{1}{\mu} \int_0^q x f(x) \, dx, \tag{19}$$

respectively, where  $\mu = E(X)$  and  $q = F^{-1}(p)$  is calculated using Equation (12). Using Equations (6) and (8), we can show that

$$\int_0^q x f(x) \,\mathrm{d}x = \sum_{j=0}^\infty w_j I_5(j),$$

where

$$I_5(j) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_m \{\alpha(b+j)\}^{-m/\gamma} \gamma\left(\frac{m}{\gamma} + 1, \alpha(b+j)q\right),$$

and the constants  $w_j$  and  $a_j$  are defined by Equations (7) and (9), respectively, and  $\gamma(\cdot, \cdot)$  is defined in Appendix 1. So, we can reduce the curves in Equation (19) to

$$B(p) = \frac{1}{p\mu} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} w_j I_5(j)$$
 and  $L(p) = \frac{1}{\mu} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} w_j I_5(j)$ .

respectively.

## 8. Extreme values

If  $\overline{X} = (X_1 + \dots + X_n)/n$  denotes the sample mean then by the usual central limit theorem  $\sqrt{n}(\overline{X} - E(X))/\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}(X)}$  approaches the standard normal distribution as  $n \to \infty$  under suitable conditions. Sometimes one would be interested in the asymptotics of the extreme values  $M_n = \max(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  and  $m_n = \min(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ .

Let  $g(t) = t^{-\gamma} \exp(-\lambda t)/(\lambda \alpha b)$ , a strictly positive function. Take the cdf and the pdf as specified by Equations (3) and (4), respectively. It can be seen that

$$\frac{1 - F(t + xg(t))}{1 - F(t)} = \exp[\alpha bt^{\gamma} \exp(\lambda t) - \alpha b\{t + xg(t)\} \exp[\lambda\{t + xg(t)\}]]$$

$$= \exp\left[\alpha bt^{\gamma} \exp(\lambda t) \left\{1 - \left(1 + \frac{xg(t)}{t}\right)^{\gamma} \exp(\lambda xg(t))\right\}\right]$$

$$= \exp\left[\alpha bt^{\gamma} \exp(\lambda t) \left\{1 - \left(1 + \frac{\gamma xg(t)}{t} + \cdots\right)(1 + \lambda xg(t) + \cdots)\right\}\right]$$

$$= \exp[-\lambda \alpha bxt^{\gamma} \exp(\lambda t)g(t) + o(1)]$$

$$= \exp\{-x + o(1)\},$$

as  $t \to \infty$ . It can also be seen using L'Hospital's rule that

$$\lim_{t\to 0}\frac{F(tx)}{F(t)} = \lim_{t\to 0}\frac{xf(tx)}{f(t)} = x^{\gamma a}.$$

Hence, it follows from Theorem 1.6.2 in Leadbetter *et al.* [25] that there must be norming constants  $a_n$ ,  $b_n$ ,  $c_n > 0$  and  $d_n$  such that

$$\Pr\{a_n(M_n - b_n) \le x\} \to \exp\{-\exp(-x)\},\$$

and

$$\Pr\{c_n(m_n - d_n) \le x\} \to 1 - \exp(-x^{\gamma a})$$

as  $n \to \infty$ . The form of the norming constants can also be determined. For instance, using Corollary 1.6.3 in Leadbetter *et al.* [25], one can see that  $b_n = F^{-1}(1 - 1/n)$  and  $a_n = 1/g(b_n)$ , where  $F^{-1}(\cdot)$  denotes the inverse function of  $F(\cdot)$ .

#### 9. Estimation

Here, we consider estimation by the methods of moments and maximum likelihood and provide expressions for the associated Fisher information matrix. We also consider estimation issues for censored data.

Suppose that  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$  is a random sample from the BMW distribution (4). For the moment estimation, let  $m_k = (1/n) \sum_{j=1}^n x_j^k$  for  $k = 1, \ldots, 5$ . By equating the theoretical moments of Equation (4) with the sample moments, one obtains the equations:

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} w_j I_1(j,k) = m_k,$$
(20)

for k = 1, ..., 5, where  $w_j$  and  $I_1(j, k)$  are given by Equations (7) and (14), respectively. The method of moment estimators (MMEs) are the simultaneous solutions of Equations (20) for k = 1, ..., 5.

Now consider estimation by the method of maximum likelihood. The log-likelihood (LL) function  $\log L = \log L(a, b, \alpha, \lambda, \gamma)$  of the five parameters is:

$$\log L = n \log \alpha - n \log B(a, b) + (\gamma - 1) \sum_{j=1}^{n} \log x_j + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \log(\gamma + \lambda x_j) + \lambda \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_j + (a - 1) \sum_{j=1}^{n} \log[1 - \exp\{-\alpha x_j^{\gamma} \exp(\lambda x_j)\}] - b\alpha \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_j^{\gamma} \exp(\lambda x_j).$$
(21)

It follows that the maximum-likelihood estimators (MLEs) are the simultaneous solutions of the equations:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \log[1 - \exp\{-\alpha x_j^{\gamma} \exp(\lambda x_j)\}] = n\psi(a) - n\psi(a+b),$$
$$\alpha \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_j^{\gamma} \exp(\lambda x_j) = n\psi(a+b) - n\psi(b),$$
$$\frac{n}{\alpha} + (a-1) \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{x_j^{\gamma} \exp(\lambda x_j)}{\exp\{\alpha x_j^{\gamma} \exp(\lambda x_j)\} - 1} = b \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_j^{\gamma} \exp(\lambda x_j),$$
$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{x_k}{\gamma + \lambda x_k} + \alpha(a-1) \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{x_j^{\gamma+1} \exp(\lambda x_j)}{\exp\{\alpha x_j^{\gamma} \exp(\lambda x_j)\} - 1} = b\alpha \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_j^{\gamma+1} \exp(\lambda x_j)$$

and

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \log x_j + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\gamma + \lambda x_k} + \alpha(a-1) \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\log x_j x_j^{\gamma} \exp(\lambda x_j)}{\exp\{\alpha x_j^{\gamma} \exp(\lambda x_j)\} - 1} = b\alpha \sum_{j=1}^{n} \log x_j x_j^{\gamma} \exp(\lambda x_j),$$

where  $\psi(\cdot)$  is defined in Appendix 1. For interval estimation of  $(a, b, \alpha, \lambda, \gamma)$  and tests of hypotheses, one requires the Fisher information matrix. The elements of this matrix for Equation (21) are given in Appendix 2.

Often with lifetime data, one encounters censored data. There are different forms of censoring: type I censoring, type II censoring, etc. Here, we consider the general case of multi-censored data: there are n subjects of which

- $n_0$  are known to have failed at the times  $x_1, \ldots, x_{n_0}$ .
- $n_1$  are known to have failed in the interval  $[s_{j-1}, s_j], j = 1, ..., n_1$ .
- $n_2$  survived to a time  $r_j$ ,  $j = 1, ..., n_2$  but not observed any longer.

Table 1. Con	mparison of	MLE	versus	MME.
--------------	-------------	-----	--------	------

			]	MLE		MME			
а	b	$\overline{AE(\hat{a})}$	$AE(\hat{b})$	$MSE(\hat{a})$	$MSE(\hat{b})$	AE(â)	$AE(\hat{b})$	$MSE(\hat{a})$	$MSE(\hat{b})$
1	1	1.153	1.176	0.160	0.183	1.323	1.279	0.188	0.184
1	2	1.145	2.428	0.136	0.901	1.415	2.725	0.155	1.083
1	3	1.171	3.626	0.254	2.588	1.184	4.155	0.290	2.941
1	4	1.133	4.735	0.169	4.571	1.179	5.075	0.187	4.702
1	5	1.069	5.659	0.107	4.639	1.218	5.811	0.114	5.098
1	6	1.169	7.400	0.143	9.206	1.286	8.028	0.151	11.346
2	1	2.251	1.160	0.638	0.155	2.716	1.297	0.725	0.179
2	2	2.288	2.221	0.647	0.591	2.714	2.486	0.726	0.609
2	3	2.384	3.507	0.893	1.721	2.405	3.534	1.088	2.021
2	4	2.359	4.733	0.924	4.415	2.429	4.997	1.058	5.118
2	5	2.416	6.192	0.906	6.626	2.465	7.049	1.056	7.560
2	6	2.349	7.191	0.727	8.410	2.839	7.451	0.880	8.588
3	1	3.498	1.157	1.882	0.184	3.628	1.223	1.890	0.187
3	2	3.563	2.282	1.940	0.673	4.200	2.667	2.228	0.724
3	3	3.791	3.860	3.112	3.339	4.029	4.124	3.222	3.539
3	4	3.662	4.918	2.191	4.337	3.886	5.138	2.510	4.424
3	5	3.398	5.668	1.485	4.088	3.642	5.899	1.518	4.355
3	6	3.557	7.251	1.642	9.438	4.191	8.253	1.667	9.461
4	1	4.567	1.098	2.792	0.129	5.119	1.287	3.027	0.159
4	2	5.018	2.423	5.577	0.966	6.258	2.913	6.366	0.994
4	3	4.523	3.350	2.632	1.240	4.966	3.664	3.007	1.402
4	4	4.660	4.679	3.496	3.556	4.915	5.783	3.814	4.200
4	5	4.443	5.639	1.978	3.220	5.062	6.926	2.205	3.524
4	6	4.688	7.258	3.379	8.353	5.466	8.888	3.770	8.949
5	1	5.665	1.091	5.085	0.094	6.853	1.279	5.665	0.096
5	2	5.655	2.264	4.997	0.729	6.697	2.531	5.300	0.883
5	3	6.422	3.699	11.912	3.118	7.762	4.554	14.488	3.157
5	4	5.753	4.625	5.192	2.907	6.468	5.152	5.645	3.301
5	5	5.546	5.654	4.699	4.232	6.406	7.048	5.439	4.901
5	6	5.995	6.964	4.289	5.064	7.164	8.530	4.803	5.358
6	1	7.081	1.122	6.199	0.099	7.885	1.167	7.588	0.122
6	2	7.096	2.349	5.842	0.619	8.070	2.781	6.301	0.720
6	3	6.750	3.407	4.688	1.251	8.432	3.665	5.003	1.425
6	4	7.322	4.888	8.134	3.520	7.663	5.937	8.737	3.582
6	5	7.291	6.085	10.064	8.308	9.008	7.043	10.470	9.896
6	6	7.039	6.820	6.703	6.015	7.734	8.353	8.099	7.098

Here,  $n = n_0 + n_1 + n_2$ . Note too that type I censoring and type II censoring are contained as particular cases of multi-censoring. The LL function  $\log L = \log L(a, b, \alpha, \lambda, \gamma)$  of the five parameters for this multi-censoring data is:

$$\log L = n_0 \log \alpha - n_0 \log B(a, b) + (\gamma - 1) \sum_{j=1}^{n_0} \log x_j + \sum_{j=1}^{n_0} \log(\gamma + \lambda x_j) + \lambda \sum_{j=1}^{n_0} x_j$$
$$+ (a - 1) \sum_{j=1}^{n_0} \log[1 - \exp\{-\alpha x_j^{\gamma} \exp(\lambda x_j)\}] - b\alpha \sum_{j=1}^{n_0} x_j^{\gamma} \exp(\lambda x_j)$$
$$+ \sum_{j=1}^{n_1} \log[I_{1-\exp\{-\alpha x_j^{\gamma} \exp(\lambda x_j)\}}(a, b) - I_{1-\exp\{-\alpha x_{j-1}^{\gamma} \exp(\lambda x_{j-1})\}}(a, b)]$$
$$+ \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} \log I_{\exp\{-\alpha x_j^{\gamma} \exp(\lambda x_j)\}}(b, a).$$
(22)

It follows that the MLEs are the simultaneous solutions of the five equations given in Appendix 3. The Fisher information matrix corresponding to Equation (22) is too complicated to be presented here.

We now compare the performances of the two estimation methods. For this purpose, we generated samples of size n = 20 from Equation (4) for a, b = 1, 2, ..., 6 and  $\alpha, \gamma, \lambda$  fixed as  $\alpha = \lambda = 1$  and  $\gamma = 1$ . For each sample, we computed the MLEs and the MMEs, following the procedures described before. We repeated this process 100 times and computed the average of the estimates (AE) and the mean-squared error (MSE). The results are reported in Table 1. It is clear that the MLE performs consistently better than the MME for all values of a, b and with respect to the AE and MSE. This is expected of course.

#### 10. Applications

#### 10.1. Voltage data

Here, we compare the results of the fits of the BMW, BW, GMW, MW and EW distributions with the data set studied by Meeker and Escobar [26, p. 383], which gives the times of failure and running times for a sample of devices from a field-tracking study of a larger system. At a certain point in time, 30 units were installed in normal service conditions. Two causes of failure were observed for each unit that failed: the failure caused by accumulation of randomly occurring damage from power-line voltage spikes during electric storms and failure caused by normal product wear.

In many applications, there is a qualitative information about the failure rate function shape, which can help in selecting a particular model. In this context, a device called the total time on test (TTT) plot [27] is useful. The TTT plot is obtained by plotting  $G(r/n) = [(\sum_{i=1}^{r} T_{i:n}) + (n-r)T_{r:n}]/(\sum_{i=1}^{n} T_{i:n})$ , where r = 1, ..., n and  $T_{i:n}, i = 1, ..., n$  are the order statistics of the sample, against r/n [6]. Figure 5(a) shows that the TTT-plot for the data set has first a convex shape and then a concave shape. It indicates a bathtub-shaped hrf. Hence, the BMW distribution could be an appropriate model for the fitting of these data. Table 2 gives the MLEs (and the corresponding standard errors in parentheses) of the parameters and the values of the following statistics for some models: Akaike information criterion (AIC) due to Akaike [28], Bayesian information criterion (BIC) due to Schwarz [29] and consistent Akaike information criterion



Figure 5. (Available in colour online). (a) TTT-plot on voltage data. (b) Estimated survival functions and the empirical survival for voltage data. (c) Estimated pdfs of the BMW, BW, GMW, MW and EW models for voltage data. (d) Estimated hazard rate function for the voltage data.

Table 2. MLEs of the model parameters for the voltage data, the corresponding SE (given in parentheses) and the measures AIC, BIC and CAIC.

Model	а	b	α	γ	λ	AIC	BIC	CAIC
Beta-modified	0.068	0.099	4.9e – 17	4.266	0.0528	345.1	347.6	352.2
Weibull	(0.016)	(0.049)	(0.000)	(0.011)	(0.002)			
Beta	0.203	0.083	8.9e – 7	2.967	0	363.1	368.7	364.7
Weibull	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	_			
Generalized modified	0.099	1	3.7e − 16	3.597	0.048	353.0	358.6	354.6
Weibull	(0.019)	_	(0.000)	(0.233)	(0.006)			
Modified	1	1	0.018	0.4536	0.007	362.1	366.3	363.1
Weibull	_	_	(0.018)	(0.220)	(0.002)			
Exponentiated	0.139	1	3.9e − 17	6.540	0	360.5	364.7	361.4
Weibull	(0.025)	-	(0.000)	(0.0001)	_			

(CAIC) due to Bozdogan [30]. The computations were done using the NLMixed procedure in SAS. These results indicate that the BMW model has the lowest AIC, BIC and CAIC values among all fitted models, and hence it could be chosen as the best model.

In order to assess if the model is appropriate, Figure 5(b) gives the empirical and estimated survival functions of the BMW, BW, GMW, MW and EW distributions. Plots of the histogram of the data and the fitted BMW, BW, GMW, MW and EW distributions are given in Figure 5(c). We

conclude that the BMW distribution provides a good fit for these data. In addition, the estimated hrf in Figure 5(d) is a bathtub-shaped curve.

The conclusion based on the fitted pdfs, the histogram of the data and survival functions can also be verified by means of the probability plots given in Figures 6(a)–(e). A probability plot (as recommended by Chambers *et al.* [31]), consists of plots of the observed probabilities against the



Figure 6. Probability plots of the fitted models to the voltage data. (a) BMW distribution. (b) BW distribution. (c) GMW distribution. (d) MW distribution. (e) EW distribution.

probabilities predicted by the fitted model. For example, for the BMW model,

$$F(x_{(j)}) = \frac{1}{B(\hat{a}, \hat{b})} \int_0^{1 - \exp\{-\hat{a}x_{(j)}^{\hat{p}} \exp(\hat{\lambda}x_{(j)})\}} w^{\hat{a}-1} (1-w)^{\hat{b}-1} dw$$

was plotted versus (j - 0.375)/(n + 0.25), j = 1, ..., n, where  $x_{(j)}$  are the sorted values of the observed fracture toughness. For each plot, we calculate the sum of squares

SS = 
$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \left\{ F(x_{(j)}) - \frac{(j-0.375)}{(n+0.25)} \right\}^2$$
,

which is a measure of the closeness of the plot to the diagonal line. It is clear that the BMW model has the points closer to the diagonal line corresponding to the smallest SS.

#### 10.2. Serum reversal data

The data set refers to the serum-reversal time (days) of 148 children contaminated with HIV from vertical transmission at the university hospital of the Ribeirão Preto School of Medicine (Hospital das Clínicas da Faculdade de Medicina de Ribeirão Preto) from 1986 to 2001 [32]. For more details, see, for example, Perdoná [33] and Carrasco *et al.* [13]. We assume that the lifetime



Figure 7. (Available in colour online). (a) TTT-plot on serum-reversal data. (b) Estimated survival function and the empirical survival for serum-reversal data. (c) Estimated hazard rate function for the serum-reversal data.

Model	а	b	α	γ	λ	AIC	BIC	CAIC
Beta-modified	0.147	0.184	1.8e – 15	0.057	2.636	769.9	784.9	770.4
Weibull	(0.020)	(0.072)	(0.000)	(0.001)	(0.014)			
Beta	0.508	0.117	9.8e - 10	3.960	0	801.7	813.7	802.0
Weibull	(0.091)	(0.021)	(0.000)	(0.012)	_			
Generalized modified	0.491	1	7.4e - 06	0.649	0.023	779.8	795.7	795.8
Weibull	(0.116)	_	(1.5-07)	(0.471)	(0.006)			
Modified	1	1	0.002	0.356	0.014	781.4	790.4	781.6
Weibull	_	_	(0.000)	(0.297)	(0.002)			
Exponentiated	0.385	1	5.5e – 17	6.361	0	808.2	820.1	820.2
Weibull	(0.046)	-	(0.000)	(0.022)	_			

Table 3. MLEs of the model parameters for the serum-reversal data, the corresponding SE (given in parentheses) and the measures AIC, BIC and CAIC.

is independently distributed, and also independent from the censoring mechanism. Considering right-censored lifetime data (censoring random). Figure 7(a) shows that the TTT-plot for the data set has first a convex shape and then a concave shape. It indicates a bathtub-shaped hrf. Hence, the BMW distribution could be an appropriate model for the fitting of such data. Table 3 gives the MLEs (and the corresponding standard errors in parentheses) of the parameters and the values of the AIC, BIC and CAIC statistics. These results indicate that the BMW model has the lowest AIC, BIC and CAIC values among all fitted models, and hence it could be chosen as the best model.

In order to assess if the model is appropriate, plots of the empirical and estimated survival functions of the BMW, BW, GMW, MW and EW distributions are given in Figure 7(b). We conclude that the BMW distribution provides a good fit for these data. Additionally, the estimated hrf in Figure 7(c) is a bathtub-shaped curve.

## 11. Conclusions

In this paper, we study some mathematical properties of the BMW distribution which is quite flexible in analysing positive data. It is an important alternative model to several models discussed in the literature since it contains the Weibull, EE, EW, BE, MW, GMW and BW distributions, among others, as special sub-models. We demonstrate that the pdf of the BMW distribution can be expressed as a mixture of MW pdfs. We provide their moments and two closed form expressions for its mgf. We examine the asymptotic distributions of the extreme values. Explicit expressions are also derived for the chf, mean deviations and Bonferroni and Lorenz curves. The pdf of the order statistics can also be expressed in terms of an infinite mixture of MW pdfs. We obtain a closed form expression for their moments and for the L moments. The estimation of parameters is approached by two methods: moments and maximum likelihood. We compare by simulation the performances of the estimates from these methods. The expected information matrix is derived. The usefulness of the BMW distribution is illustrated in two analyses of real data.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the editor, the associate editor and the referee for carefully reading the paper and for their comments, which greatly improved the paper.

#### References

- [1] W. Nelson, Applied Life Data Analysis, Wiley, New York, 1982.
- [2] U. Hjorth, A reliability distribution with increasing, decreasing, constant and bathtub failure rates, Technometrics 22 (1980), pp. 99–107.

- [3] S. Rajarshi and M.B. Rajarshi, *Bathtub distributions: A review*. Commun. Stat. Theory Methods 17 (1988), pp. 2521–2597.
- [4] E. Haupt and H. Schabe, A new model for a lifetime distribution with bathtub shaped failure rate, Microelectron. Reliab. 32 (1992), pp. 633–639.
- [5] H. Pham and C.D. Lai, On recent generalizations of the Weibull distribution, IEEE Trans. Reliab. 56 (2007), pp. 454–458.
- [6] G.S. Mudholkar and A.D. Hutson, The exponentiated Weibull family: Some properties and a flood data application, Commun. Stat. Theory Methods 25 (1996), pp. 3059–3083.
- [7] G.S. Mudholkar, D.K. Srivastava, and M. Freimer, *The exponentiated Weibull family*, Technometrics 37 (1995), pp. 436–445.
- [8] M. Xie and C.D. Lai, Reliability analysis using additive Weibull model with bathtub-shaped failure rate function, Reliab. Eng. Syst. Safety 52 (1995), pp. 87–93.
- [9] M. Xie, Y. Tang, and T.N. Goh, A modified Weibull extension with bathtub failure rate function, Reliab. Eng. Syst. Safety 76 (2002), pp. 279–285.
- [10] C.D. Lai, M. Xie, and D.N.P. Murthy, A modified Weibull distribution, Trans. Reliab. 52 (2003), pp. 33–37.
- [11] S. Nadarajah and S. Kotz, The beta exponential distribution, Reliab. Eng. Syst. Safety 91 (2006), pp. 689–697.
- [12] M. Bebbington, C.D. Lai, and R. Zitikis, A flexible Weibull extension, Reliab. Eng. Syst. Safety 92 (2007), pp. 719–726.
- [13] J.M.F. Carrasco, E.M.M. Ortega, and G.M. Cordeiro, A generalized modified Weibull distribution for lifetime modeling, Comput. Stat. Data Anal. 53 (2008), pp. 450–462.
- [14] G.M. Cordeiro and S. Nadarajah, General results for the beta Weibull distribution, Submitted for publication.
- [15] R.D. Gupta and D. Kundu, Generalized exponential distributions, Aust. N. Z. J. Stat. 41 (1999), pp. 173-188.
- [16] R.D. Gupta and D. Kundu, Exponentiated exponential family: An alternative to gamma and Weibull distributions, Biom. J. 43 (2001), pp. 117–130.
- [17] D. Kundu and M.Z. Rakab, Generalized Rayleigh distribution: Different methods of estimation, Comput. Stat. Data Anal. 49 (2005), pp. 187–200.
- [18] N. Eugene, C. Lee, and F. Famoye, *Beta-normal distribution and its applications*, Commun. Stat. Theory Methods 31 (2002), pp. 497–512.
- [19] M.C. Jones, Families of distributions arising from distributions of order statistics, Test 13 (2004), pp. 1–43.
- [20] A.K. Gupta and S. Nadarajah, On the moments of the beta normal distribution, Commun. Stat. Theory Methods 33 (2004), pp. 1–13.
- [21] S. Nadarajah and S. Kotz, The beta Gumbel distribution, Math. Probl. Eng. 10 (2004), pp. 323–332.
- [22] W. Barreto-Souza, A.H.S. Santos, and G.M. Cordeiro, *The beta generalized exponential distribution*, J. Statist. Comput. Simul. (2009), doi: 10.1080/00949650802552402.
- [23] G.O. Silva, E.M.M. Ortega, and G.M. Cordeiro, *The beta modified Weibull distribution*, Lifetime Data Anal., to appear.
- [24] R. Corless, G. Gonnet, D. Hare, D. Jeffrey, and D. Knuth, On the Lambert W function, Adv. Comput. Math. 5 (1996), pp. 329–359.
- [25] M.R. Leadbetter, G. Lindgren, and H. Rootzén, Extremes and Related Properties of Random Sequences and Processes, Springer Verlag, New York, 1987.
- [26] W.Q. Meeker and L.A. Escobar, Statistical Methods for Reliability Data, John Wiley, New York, 1998.
- [27] M.V. Aarset, How to identify bathtub hazard rate, IEEE Trans. Reliab. 36 (1987), pp. 106–108.
- [28] H. Akaike, A new look at the statistical model identification, IEEE Trans. Automat. Control 19 (1974), pp. 716–723.
- [29] G.E. Schwarz, *Estimating the dimension of a model*, Ann. Stat. 6 (1978), pp. 461–464.
- [30] H. Bozdogan, Model selection and Akaike's information criterion (AIC): The general theory and its analytical extensions, Psychometrika 52 (1987), pp. 345–370.
- [31] J. Chambers, W. Cleveland, B. Kleiner, and P. Tukey, *Graphical Methods for Data Analysis*, Chapman and Hall, London, 1983.
- [32] A.N.F. Silva, Estudo evolutivo das crianças expostas ao HIV e notificadas pelo núcleo de vigilância epidemiológica do HCFMRP-USP, MSc thesis, University of São Paulo, Brazil, 2004.
- [33] G.S.C. Perdoná, Modelos de riscos aplicados à análise de sobrevivência, Doctoral thesis, Institute of Computer Science and Mathematics, University of São Paulo, Brasil, 2006 (in Portuguese).
- [34] A.P. Prudnikov, Y.A. Brychkov, and O.I. Marichev, *Integrals and Series*, Vols. 1–3, Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, Amsterdam, 1986.
- [35] I.S. Gradshteyn and I.M. Ryzhik, Table of Integrals, Series, and Products, 6th ed., Academic Press, San Diego, 2000.

#### Appendix 1

The calculations in this paper involve the following special functions: the gamma function defined by

$$\Gamma(\alpha) = \int_0^\infty w^{\alpha - 1} \exp(-w) \, \mathrm{d}w,$$

#### S. Nadarajah et al.

the digamma function defined by

$$\psi(\alpha) = \frac{d\log\Gamma(\alpha)}{d\alpha}$$

the incomplete gamma function defined by

$$\gamma(\alpha, x) = \int_0^x w^{\alpha - 1} \exp(-w) \, \mathrm{d}w,$$

the complementary incomplete gamma function defined by

$$\Gamma(\alpha, x) = \int_x^\infty w^{\alpha - 1} \exp(-w) \, \mathrm{d}w,$$

the beta function defined by

$$B(a,b) = \int_0^1 w^{a-1} (1-w)^{b-1} \, \mathrm{d}w,$$

the incomplete beta function ratio defined by

$$I_{y}(a,b) = \frac{1}{B(a,b)} \int_{0}^{y} w^{a-1} (1-w)^{b-1} \,\mathrm{d}w$$

and the  $_{3}F_{2}$  hypergeometric function defined by

$${}_{3}F_{2}(a,b,c;d,e;x) = \frac{\Gamma(d)\Gamma(e)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)\Gamma(c)} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(a+j)\Gamma(b+j)\Gamma(c+j)}{\Gamma(d+j)\Gamma(e+j)} \frac{x^{j}}{j!}.$$

We shall also need the Lambert W(z) function defined as the inverse of  $z = x \exp(x)$ , say x = W(z). The properties of these special functions can be found in Prudnikov *et al.* [34] and Gradshteyn and Ryzhik [35]. The Lambert W(z) function is the series expansion F(z) = Product Log[z] provided by the software Mathematica.

## Appendix 2

The elements of the Fisher information matrix corresponding to the LL function in Equation (21) are:

$$\begin{split} E\left(-\frac{\partial^{2}\log L}{\partial a^{2}}\right) &= n\psi'(a) - n\psi'(a+b), \quad E\left(-\frac{\partial^{2}\log L}{\partial a\partial b}\right) = -n\psi'(a+b), \\ E\left(-\frac{\partial^{2}\log L}{\partial a\partial \alpha}\right) &= -nT(\gamma, 0, 1, 0, 1), \quad E\left(-\frac{\partial^{2}\log L}{\partial a\partial \lambda}\right) = -n\alpha T(\gamma+1, 0, 1, 0, 1), \\ E\left(-\frac{\partial^{2}\log L}{\partial a\partial \gamma}\right) &= -n\alpha T(\gamma, 1, 1, 0, 1), \quad E\left(-\frac{\partial^{2}\log L}{\partial b^{2}}\right) = n\psi'(b) - n\psi'(a+b), \\ E\left(-\frac{\partial^{2}\log L}{\partial b\partial \alpha}\right) = nT(\gamma, 0, 1, 0, 0), \quad E\left(-\frac{\partial^{2}\log L}{\partial b\partial \lambda}\right) = n\alpha T(\gamma+1, 0, 1, 0, 0), \\ E\left(-\frac{\partial^{2}\log L}{\partial b\partial \gamma}\right) = n\alpha T(\gamma, 1, 1, 0, 0), \quad E\left(-\frac{\partial^{2}\log L}{\partial a^{2}}\right) = \frac{n}{\alpha^{2}} + n(a-1)T(2\gamma, 0, 2, 1, 2), \\ E\left(-\frac{\partial^{2}\log L}{\partial a\partial \lambda}\right) = nbT(\gamma+1, 0, 1, 0, 0) - n(a-1)\{T(\gamma+1, 0, 1, 0, 1) - \alpha T(2\gamma+1, 0, 2, 1, 2)\}, \\ E\left(-\frac{\partial^{2}\log L}{\partial a\partial \gamma}\right) = nbT(\gamma, 1, 1, 0, 0) - n(a-1)\{T(\gamma, 1, 1, 0, 1) - \alpha T(2\gamma, 1, 2, 1, 2)\}, \\ E\left(-\frac{\partial^{2}\log L}{\partial \lambda^{2}}\right) = nabT(\gamma+2, 0, 1, 0, 0) - n\alpha(a-1)\{T(\gamma+2, 0, 1, 0, 1) - \alpha T(2\gamma+2, 0, 2, 1, 2)\}, \\ E\left(-\frac{\partial^{2}\log L}{\partial \lambda^{2}}\right) = nS(1, 2) + n\alpha bT(\gamma+1, 1, 1, 0, 0) \\ - n\alpha(a-1)\{T(\gamma+1, 1, 1, 0, 1) - \alpha T(2\gamma+1, 1, 2, 1, 2)\} \end{split}$$

and

$$E\left(-\frac{\partial^2 \log L}{\partial \gamma^2}\right) = nS(0,2) + n\alpha bT(\gamma,2,1,0,0) - n\alpha(a-1)\{T(\gamma,1,1,0,1) - \alpha T(2\gamma,1,2,1,2)\},$$

where

$$T(i, j, k, l, m) = E\left[\frac{X^{i}(\log X)^{j} \exp(k\lambda X) \exp\{l\alpha X^{\gamma} \exp(\lambda X)\}}{[\exp\{\alpha X^{\gamma} \exp(\lambda X)\} - 1]^{m}}\right]$$

and

$$S(i, j) = E\left[\frac{X^i}{(\gamma + \lambda X)^j}\right].$$

The expectations in T(i, j, k, l, m) and S(i, j) can be computed numerically.

## **Appendix 3**

The following five equations can be solved simultaneously to obtain the MLEs of the parameters of the LL function given by Equation (22):

$$\begin{split} \sum_{j=1}^{n_0} \log[1 - \exp\{-\alpha x_j^{\gamma} \exp(\lambda x_j)\}] + \sum_{j=1}^{n_1} \frac{\partial I_{1-\exp[-\alpha x_j^{\gamma} \exp(\lambda s_j)]}(a, b) \partial a - \partial I_{1-\exp[-\alpha x_{j-1}^{\gamma} \exp(\lambda s_{j-1})]}(a, b) \partial a}{I_{1-\exp[-\alpha x_j^{\gamma} \exp(\lambda s_j)]}(a, b) - I_{1-\exp[-\alpha x_{j-1}^{\gamma} \exp(\lambda s_{j-1})]}(a, b)} \\ + \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} \frac{\partial I_{\exp[-\alpha r_j^{\gamma} \exp(\lambda r_j)]}(b, a) \partial a}{I_{\exp[-\alpha r_j^{\gamma} \exp(\lambda r_j)]}(b, a)} = n_0 \psi(a) - n_0 \psi(a + b), \\ \alpha \sum_{j=1}^{n_0} x_j^{\gamma} \exp(\lambda x_j) - \sum_{j=1}^{n_1} \frac{\partial I_{1-\exp[-\alpha x_j^{\gamma} \exp(\lambda s_j)]}(a, b) \partial b - \partial I_{1-\exp[-\alpha x_{j-1}^{\gamma} \exp(\lambda s_{j-1})]}(a, b) \partial b}{I_{1-\exp[-\alpha x_j^{\gamma} \exp(\lambda s_j)]}(a, b) - I_{1-\exp[-\alpha x_{j-1}^{\gamma} \exp(\lambda s_{j-1})]}(a, b)} \\ - \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} \frac{\partial I_{\exp[-\alpha r_j^{\gamma} \exp(\lambda r_j)]}(b, a) \partial b}{I_{1-\exp[-\alpha r_j^{\gamma} \exp(\lambda s_j)]}(a, b) - I_{1-\exp[-\alpha s_{j-1}^{\gamma} \exp(\lambda s_{j-1})]}(a, b)} \\ - \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} \frac{\partial I_{\exp[-\alpha r_j^{\gamma} \exp(\lambda r_j)]}(b, a) \partial b}{I_{1-\exp[-\alpha r_j^{\gamma} \exp(\lambda r_j)]}(b, a)} = n_0 \psi(a + b) - n_0 \psi(b), \\ \frac{n_0}{\alpha} + (a - 1) \sum_{j=1}^{n_0} \frac{x_j^{\gamma} \exp(\lambda x_j)}{exp(\alpha x_j^{\gamma} \exp(\lambda x_j)](a, b) - I_{1-\exp[-\alpha s_{j-1}^{\gamma} \exp(\lambda s_{j-1})]}(a, b)} \\ + \frac{\alpha}{B(a, b)} \sum_{j=1}^{n_1} \frac{U(r_j)}{I_{1-\exp[-\alpha r_j^{\gamma} \exp(\lambda r_j)]}(b, a)}, \\ \frac{n_0}{\alpha} + (a - 1) \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} \frac{x_j^{\gamma} \exp(\lambda x_j)}{exp(\alpha x_j^{\gamma} \exp(\lambda x_j)](a, b) - I_{1-\exp[-\alpha s_{j-1}^{\gamma} \exp(\lambda s_{j-1})]}(a, b)} \\ = \frac{\alpha}{B(a, b)} \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} \frac{U(r_j)}{I_{\exp[-\alpha r_j^{\gamma} \exp(\lambda r_j)]}(b, a)}, \\ \frac{n_0}{1 - \exp[-\alpha r_j^{\gamma} \exp(\lambda r_j)](b, a)}, \\ \frac{n_0}{1 - \exp[-\alpha r_j^{\gamma} \exp(\lambda r_j)](a, b) - I_{1-\exp[-\alpha r_j^{\gamma} \exp(\lambda r_j)](a, b)} \\ \frac{n_0}{1 - \exp[-\alpha r_j^{\gamma} \exp(\lambda r_j)](a, b)}, \\ \frac{n_0}{1 - \exp[-\alpha r_j^{\gamma} \exp(\lambda r_j)](a, b)} = \frac{n_0}{1 - \exp[-\alpha r_j^{\gamma} \exp(\lambda r_j)](a, b)} = \frac{n_0}{1 - \exp[-\alpha r_j^{\gamma} \exp(\lambda r_j)](a, b)}$$

and

$$\begin{split} \sum_{j=1}^{n_0} \log x_j + \sum_{j=1}^{n_0} \frac{1}{\gamma + \lambda x_k} + \alpha(a-1) \sum_{j=1}^{n_0} \frac{\log x_j x_j^{\gamma} \exp(\lambda x_j)}{\exp\{\alpha x_j^{\gamma} \exp(\lambda x_j)\} - 1} \\ + \frac{\alpha}{B(a,b)} \sum_{j=1}^{n_1} \frac{Z(s_j) - Z(s_{j-1})}{I_{1-\exp\{-\alpha s_j^{\gamma} \exp(\lambda s_j)\}}(a,b) - I_{1-\exp\{-\alpha s_{j-1}^{\gamma} \exp(\lambda s_{j-1})\}}(a,b)} \\ &= \frac{\alpha}{B(a,b)} \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} \frac{Z(r_j)}{I_{\exp\{-\alpha r_j^{\gamma} \exp(\lambda r_j)\}}(b,a)} + b\alpha \sum_{j=1}^{n_0} \log x_j x_j^{\gamma} \exp(\lambda x_j), \end{split}$$

where  $U(s) = s^{\gamma+1} \exp(\lambda s) \exp\{-\alpha b s^{\gamma} \exp(\lambda s)\}[1 - \exp\{-\alpha s^{\gamma} \exp(\lambda s)\}]^{a-1}$ ,  $V(s) = s^{\gamma} \exp(\lambda s) \exp\{-\alpha b s^{\gamma} \exp(\lambda s)\}[1 - \exp\{-\alpha s^{\gamma} \exp(\lambda s)\}]^{a-1}$  and  $Z(s) = s^{\gamma} \log s \exp(\lambda s) \exp\{-\alpha b s^{\gamma} \exp(\lambda s)\}[1 - \exp\{-\alpha s^{\gamma} \exp(\lambda s)\}]^{a-1}$ . The partial derivatives of the incomplete beta function ratio can be computed using the facts

$$\frac{I_x(a,b)}{\partial a} = \{\log x - \psi(a) + \psi(a+b)\}I_x(a,b) - \frac{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(a+b)}{\Gamma(b)}x^a{}_3F_2(a,a,1-b;a+1,a+1;x)$$

and

$$\frac{I_x(a,b)}{\partial b} = \frac{\Gamma(b)\Gamma(a+b)}{\Gamma(a)}(1-x)^b{}_3F_2(b,b,1-a;b+1,b+1;1-x) + \{\psi(b) - \psi(a+b) - \log(1-x)\}I_{1-x}(b,a),$$

where  ${}_{3}F_{2}(\cdot,\cdot,\cdot;\cdot,\cdot)$  is defined in Appendix 1. See, for example, http://functions.wolfram.com/GammaBetaErf/BetaRegularized/20/01/02/0001/ and http://functions.wolfram.com/GammaBetaErf/BetaRegularized/20/01/03/0001/.