

Taylor's Formula

G. B. Folland

There's a lot more to be said about Taylor's formula than the brief discussion on pp.113–4 of Apostol. Let me begin with a few definitions.

Definitions. A function f defined on an interval I is called k times differentiable on I if the derivatives $f', f'', \dots, f^{(k)}$ exist and are finite on I , and f is said to be of class C^k on I if these derivatives are all continuous on I . (Note that if f is k times differentiable, the derivatives $f', \dots, f^{(k-1)}$ are necessarily continuous, by Theorem 5.3; the only question is the continuity of $f^{(k)}$.) If f is (at least) k times differentiable on an open interval I and $c \in I$, its k th order Taylor polynomial about c is the polynomial

$$P_{k,c}(x) = \sum_{j=0}^k \frac{f^{(j)}(c)}{j!} (x - c)^j$$

(where, of course, the “zeroth derivative” $f^{(0)}$ is f itself), and its k th order Taylor remainder is the difference

$$R_{k,c}(x) = f(x) - P_{k,c}(x).$$

Remark 1. The k th order Taylor polynomial $P_{k,c}(x)$ is a polynomial of degree at most k , but its degree may be less than k because $f^{(k)}(c)$ might be zero.

Remark 2. We have $P_{k,c}(c) = f(c)$, and by differentiating the formula for $P_{k,c}(x)$ repeatedly and then setting $x = c$ we see that $P_{k,c}^{(j)}(c) = f^{(j)}(c)$ for $j \leq k$. That is, $P_{k,c}$ is the polynomial of degree $\leq k$ whose derivatives of order $\leq k$ at c agree with those of f .

For future reference, here are a few frequently used examples of Taylor polynomials:

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) = e^x; & \quad P_{k,0}(x) = \sum_{0 \leq j \leq k} \frac{x^j}{j!} \\ f(x) = \cos x; & \quad P_{k,0}(x) = \sum_{0 \leq j \leq k/2} \frac{(-1)^j x^{2j}}{(2j)!} \\ f(x) = \sin x; & \quad P_{k,0}(x) = \sum_{0 \leq j < k/2} \frac{(-1)^j x^{2j+1}}{(2j+1)!} \\ f(x) = \log x; & \quad P_{k,1}(x) = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq k} \frac{(-1)^{j-1} (x-1)^j}{j} \end{aligned}$$

Note that (for example) $1 - \frac{1}{2}x^2$ is both the 2nd order and the 3rd order Taylor polynomial of $\cos x$, because the cubic term in its Taylor expansion vanishes. (Also note that in higher mathematics the natural logarithm function is almost always called \log rather than \ln .)

For $k = 1$ we have $P_{1,c}(x) = f(c) + f'(c)(x - c)$; this is the linear function whose graph is the tangent line to the graph of f at $x = c$. Just as this tangent line is the straight line

that best approximates the graph of f near $x = c$, we shall see that $P_{k,c}(x)$ is the polynomial of degree $\leq k$ that best approximates $f(x)$ near $x = c$. To justify this assertion we need to see that the remainder $R_{k,c}(x)$ is suitably small near $x = c$, and there are several ways of making this precise. The first one is simply this: the remainder $R_{k,c}(x)$ tends to zero as $x \rightarrow c$ faster than any nonzero term in the polynomial $P_{k,c}(x)$, that is, faster than $(x - c)^k$. Here is the result:

Theorem 1. *Suppose f is k times differentiable in an open interval I containing the point c . Then*

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow c} \frac{R_{k,c}(x)}{(x - c)^k} = \lim_{x \rightarrow c} \frac{f(x) - P_{k,c}(x)}{(x - c)^k} = 0.$$

Proof. Since f and its derivatives up to order k agree with $P_{k,c}$ and its derivatives up to order k at $x = c$, the difference $R_{k,c}$ and its derivatives up to order k vanish at $x = c$. Moreover, $(x - c)^k$ and its derivatives up to order $k - 1$ also vanish at $x = c$, so we can apply l'Hôpital's rule k times to obtain

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow c} \frac{R_{k,c}(x)}{(x - c)^k} = \lim_{x \rightarrow c} \frac{R_{k,c}^{(k)}(x)}{k(k - 1) \cdots 1(x - c)^0} = \frac{0}{k!} = 0.$$

■

There is a convenient notation to describe the situation in Theorem 1: we say that

$$R_{k,c}(x) = o((x - c)^k) \text{ as } x \rightarrow c,$$

meaning that $R_{k,c}(x)$ is of smaller order than $(x - c)^k$ as $x \rightarrow c$. More generally, if g and h are two functions, we say that $h(x) = o(g(x))$ as $x \rightarrow c$ (where c might be $\pm\infty$) if $h(x)/g(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $x \rightarrow c$. The symbol $o(g(x))$ is pronounced “little oh of g of x ”; it does not denote any particular function, but rather is a shorthand way of describing any function that is of smaller order than $g(x)$ as $x \rightarrow c$. For example, Corollary 1 of l'Hôpital's rule (see the notes on l'Hôpital's rule) says that for any $a > 0$, $x^a = o(e^x)$ and $\log x = o(x^a)$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$, and $\log x = o(x^{-a})$ as $x \rightarrow 0+$. Another example: saying that $h(x) = o(1)$ as $x \rightarrow c$ simply means that $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} h(x) = 0$.

In order to simplify notation, in the following discussion we shall assume that $c = 0$ and write P_k instead of $P_{k,c}$. (The Taylor polynomial $P_k = P_{k,0}$ is often called the *k*th order *Maclaurin polynomial* of f .) There is no loss of generality in doing this, as one can always reduce to the case $c = 0$ by making the change of variable $\tilde{x} = x - c$ and regarding all functions in question as functions of \tilde{x} rather than x .

The conclusion of Theorem 1, that $f(x) - P_k(x) = o(x^k)$, actually characterizes the Taylor polynomial $P_{k,c}$ completely:

Theorem 2. *Suppose f is k times differentiable on an open interval I containing 0. If Q is a polynomial of degree $\leq k$ such that $f(x) - Q(x) = o(x^k)$ as $x \rightarrow 0$, then $Q = P_k$.*

Proof. Since $f - Q$ and $f - P_k$ are both of smaller order than x^k , so is their difference $P_k - Q$. Let $P_k(x) = \sum_0^k a_j x^j$ (of course $a_j = f^{(j)}(0)/j!$) and $Q(x) = \sum_0^k b_j x^j$. Then

$$(a_0 - b_0) + (a_1 - b_1)x + \cdots + (a_k - b_k)x^k = P_k(x) - Q(x) = o(x^k).$$

Letting $x \rightarrow 0$, we see that $a_0 - b_0 = 0$. This being the case, we have

$$(a_1 - b_1) + (a_2 - b_2)x + \cdots + (a_k - b_k)x^{k-1} = \frac{P_k(x) - Q(x)}{x} = o(x^{k-1}).$$

Letting $x \rightarrow 0$ here, we see that $a_1 - b_1 = 0$. But then

$$(a_2 - b_2) + (a_3 - b_3)x + \cdots + (a_k - b_k)x^{k-2} = \frac{P_k(x) - Q(x)}{x^2} = o(x^{k-2}),$$

which likewise gives $a_2 - b_2 = 0$. Proceeding inductively, we find that $a_j = b_j$ for all j and hence $P_k = Q$. ■

Theorem 2 is very useful for calculating Taylor polynomials. It shows that using the formula $a_k = f^{(k)}(0)/k!$ is not the only way to calculate P_k ; rather, if by *any* means we can find a polynomial Q of degree $\leq k$ such that $f(x) = Q(x) + o(x^k)$, then Q must be P_k . Here are two important applications of this fact.

Taylor Polynomials of Products. Let P_k^f and P_k^g be the k th order Taylor polynomials of f and g , respectively. Then

$$\begin{aligned} f(x)g(x) &= [P_k^f(x) + o(x^k)] [P_k^g(x) + o(x^k)] \\ &= [\text{terms of degree } \leq k \text{ in } P_k^f(x)P_k^g(x)] + o(x^k). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, to find the k th order Taylor polynomial of fg , simply multiply the k th Taylor polynomials of f and g together, discarding all terms of degree $> k$.

EXAMPLE 1. What is the 6th order Taylor polynomial of $x^3 e^x$? Solution:

$$x^3 e^x = x^3 \left[1 + x + \frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{x^3}{6} + o(x^3) \right] = x^3 + x^4 + \frac{x^5}{2} + \frac{x^6}{6} + o(x^6),$$

so the answer is $x^3 + x^4 + \frac{1}{2}x^5 + \frac{1}{6}x^6$.

EXAMPLE 2 What is the 5th order Taylor polynomial of $e^x \sin 2x$? Solution:

$$\begin{aligned} e^x \sin 2x &= \left[1 + x + \frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{x^3}{6} + \frac{x^4}{24} + \frac{x^5}{120} + o(x^5) \right] \left[2x - \frac{(2x)^3}{6} + \frac{(2x)^5}{120} + o(x^5) \right] \\ &= 2x + 2x^2 + x^3 \left[\frac{2}{2} - \frac{8}{6} \right] + x^4 \left[\frac{2}{6} - \frac{8}{6} \right] + x^5 \left[\frac{2}{24} - \frac{8}{12} + \frac{32}{120} \right] + o(x^5), \end{aligned}$$

so the answer is $2x + 2x^2 - \frac{1}{3}x^3 - x^4 - \frac{19}{60}x^5$.

Taylor Polynomials of Compositions. If f and g have derivatives up to order k , and $g(0) = 0$, we can find the k th Taylor polynomial of $f \circ g$ by substituting the Taylor expansion of g into the Taylor expansion of f , retaining only the terms of degree $\leq k$. That is, suppose

$$f(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \cdots + a_kx^k + o(x^k).$$

Since $g(0) = 0$ and g is differentiable, we have $g(x) \approx g'(0)x$ for x near 0, so anything that is $o(g(x)^k)$ is also $o(x^k)$ as $x \rightarrow 0$. Hence,

$$f(g(x)) = a_0 + a_1g(x) + \cdots + a_kg(x)^k + o(x^k).$$

Now plug in the Taylor expansion of g on the right and multiply it out, discarding terms of degree $> k$.

EXAMPLE 3. What is the 16th order Taylor polynomial of e^{x^6} ? Solution:

$$e^x = 1 + x + \frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{x^3}{6} + o(x^3) \quad \implies \quad e^{x^6} = 1 + x^6 + \frac{x^{12}}{2} + \frac{x^{18}}{6} + o(x^{18}).$$

The last two terms are both $o(x^{16})$, so the answer is $1 + x^6 + \frac{1}{2}x^{12}$.

EXAMPLE 4. What is the 4th order Taylor polynomial of $e^{\sin x}$? Solution:

$$e^{\sin x} = 1 + \sin x + \frac{\sin^2 x}{2} + \frac{\sin^3 x}{6} + \frac{\sin^4 x}{24} + o(x^4)$$

since $|\sin x| \leq |x|$. Now substitute $x - \frac{1}{6}x^3 + o(x^4)$ for $\sin x$ on the right (yes, the error term is $o(x^4)$ because the 4th degree term in the Taylor expansion of $\sin x$ vanishes) and multiply out, throwing all terms of degree > 4 into the “ $o(x^4)$ ” trash can:

$$e^{\sin x} = 1 + \left[x - \frac{x^3}{6} \right] + \frac{1}{2} \left[x^2 - \frac{x^4}{3} \right] + \frac{x^3}{6} + \frac{x^4}{24} + o(x^4),$$

so the answer is $1 + x + \frac{1}{2}x^2 - \frac{1}{8}x^4$. (To appreciate how easy this is, try finding this polynomial by computing the first four derivatives of $e^{\sin x}$.)

Taylor Polynomials and l'Hôpital's Rule. Taylor polynomials can often be used effectively in computing limits of the form $0/0$. Indeed, suppose f , g , and their first $k - 1$ derivatives vanish at $x = 0$, but their k th derivatives do not both vanish. The Taylor expansions of f and g then look like

$$f(x) = \frac{f^{(k)}(0)}{k!}x^k + o(x^k), \quad g(x) = \frac{g^{(k)}(0)}{k!}x^k + o(x^k).$$

Taking the quotient and cancelling out $x^k/k!$, we get

$$\frac{f(x)}{g(x)} = \frac{f^{(k)}(0) + o(1)}{g^{(k)}(0) + o(1)} \rightarrow \frac{f^{(k)}(0)}{g^{(k)}(0)} \text{ as } x \rightarrow 0.$$

This is in accordance with l'Hôpital's rule, but the devices discussed above for computing Taylor polynomials may lead to the answer more quickly than a direct application of l'Hôpital.

EXAMPLE 5. What is $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} (x^2 - \sin^2 x) / x^2 \sin^2 x$? Solution:

$$\sin^2 x = \left[x - \frac{x^3}{6} + o(x^4) \right]^2 = x^2 - \frac{x^4}{3} + o(x^4),$$

so $x^2 \sin^2 x = x^4 + o(x^4)$, and

$$\frac{x^2 - \sin^2 x}{x^2 \sin^2 x} = \frac{\frac{1}{3}x^4 + o(x^4)}{x^4 + o(x^4)} = \frac{\frac{1}{3} + o(1)}{1 + o(1)} \rightarrow \frac{1}{3}.$$

(Again, to appreciate how easy this is, try doing it by l'Hôpital's rule.)

EXAMPLE 6. Evaluate

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 1} \left[\frac{1}{\log x} + \frac{x}{x-1} \right].$$

Solution: Here we need to expand in powers of $x - 1$. First of all,

$$\frac{1}{\log x} - \frac{x}{x-1} = \frac{x-1 - x \log x}{(x-1) \log x} = \frac{(x-1) - (x-1) \log x - \log x}{(x-1) \log x}.$$

Next, $\log x = (x-1) - \frac{1}{2}(x-1)^2 + o((x-1)^2)$, and plugging this into the numerator and denominator gives

$$\frac{(x-1) - (x-1)^2 - [(x-1) - \frac{1}{2}(x-1)^2] + o((x-1)^2)}{(x-1)^2 + o((x-1)^2)} = \frac{-\frac{1}{2} + o(1)}{1 + o(1)} \rightarrow -\frac{1}{2}.$$

Theorem 1 tells us a lot about the remainder $R_{k,c}(x) = f(x) - P_{k,c}(x)$ for small x , but sometimes one wants a more precise quantitative estimate of it. The most common ways of obtaining such an estimate involve slightly stronger conditions on f ; namely, instead of just being k times differentiable we assume that it is $k+1$ times differentiable, or perhaps of class C^{k+1} , and the estimates we obtain involve bounds on the derivative $f^{(k+1)}$. There are several formulas for $R_{k,c}(x)$ that lead to such estimates; we shall present the two that are most often encountered. The first one is the one presented in Apostol. (It's Theorem 5.19, with the change of variable $k = n - 1$. Apostol states the hypotheses in a slightly more general, but also more complicated, form; the version below usually suffices.)

Theorem 3 (Lagrange's Form of the Remainder). *Suppose f is $k+1$ times differentiable on an open interval I and $c \in I$. For each $x \in I$ there is a point x_1 between c and x such that*

$$R_{k,c}(x) = \frac{f^{(k+1)}(x_1)}{(k+1)!} (x-c)^{k+1}. \quad (1)$$

For the proof of Theorem 3 we refer to Apostol. The other popular form of the remainder requires a slightly stronger hypothesis, that $f^{(k+1)}$ not only exists but is continuous. (Actually, it's enough for it to be Riemann integrable, but these minor variations in the assumptions are usually of little importance.) I suspect the reason that Apostol doesn't mention it is that it involves an integral, and he doesn't want to discuss integrals until later.

Theorem 4 (Integral Form of the Remainder). *Suppose f is of class C^{k+1} on an open interval I and $c \in I$. If $x \in I$, then*

$$R_{k,c}(x) = \frac{1}{(k+1)!} \int_c^x (x-t)^k f^{(k+1)}(t) dt. \quad (2)$$

Proof. Recalling the definition of $R_{k,c}$, we can restate (2) as

$$f(x) = \sum_{j=0}^k \frac{f^{(j)}(c)}{j!} (x-c)^j + \frac{1}{(k+1)!} \int_c^x (x-t)^k f^{(k+1)}(t) dt. \quad (3)$$

For $k = 0$, this simply says that

$$f(x) = f(c) + \int_c^x f'(t) dt, \quad (4)$$

which is true by the fundamental theorem of calculus. Next, we integrate (4) by parts, taking

$$u = f'(t), \quad du = f''(t) dt; \quad dv = dt, \quad v = t - x.$$

Notice the twist: normally if $dv = dt$ we would simply take $v = t$, but we are free to add a constant of integration, and we take that constant to be $-x$. (The number x , like c , is fixed in this discussion; the variable of integration is t .) The result is

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= f(c) + (t-x)f'(t)|_c^x - \int_c^x (t-x)f''(t) dt \\ &= f(c) + (x-c)f'(c) + \int_c^x (x-t)f''(t) dt, \end{aligned}$$

which is (3) with $k = 1$. Another integration by parts, with

$$u = f''(t), \quad du = f'''(t) dt; \quad dv = (x-t) dt, \quad v = -\frac{1}{2}(x-t)^2,$$

(again, instead of taking $v = xt - \frac{1}{2}t^2$ we take $v = -\frac{1}{2}(x-t)^2 = -\frac{1}{2}x^2 + xt - \frac{1}{2}t^2$) gives

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= f(c) + (x-c)f'(c) - \frac{1}{2}(x-t)^2 f''(t)|_c^x + \int_c^x \frac{1}{2}(x-t)^2 f'''(t) dt \\ &= f(c) + (x-c)f'(c) + \frac{(x-c)^2}{2!} f''(c) + \frac{1}{2!} \int_c^x (x-t)^2 f'''(t) dt, \end{aligned}$$

which is (3) with $k = 2$. The pattern should now be clear: a k -fold integration by parts starting from (4) yields (3). The formal inductive proof is left to the reader. ■

Let's be clear about the significance of Theorems 3 and 4. They are almost never used to find the exact value of the remainder term (which amounts to knowing the exact value of the original $f(x)$); one doesn't know just where the point x_1 in (1) is, and the integral in

(2) is usually hard to evaluate. Instead, the philosophy is that Taylor polynomials $P_{k,c}$ are used as (simpler) approximations to (complicated) functions f near c , and the remainders $R_{k,c}$ are regarded as junk to be disregarded. For this to work one needs some assurance that $R_{k,c}(x)$ is small enough that one can safely neglect it or an estimate of the magnitude of the error one makes in doing so. The main purpose of Theorems 3 and 4 is to provide such information via the following result.

Corollary 1. *Suppose f is $k+1$ times differentiable on an interval I and that $|f^{(k+1)}(x)| \leq C$ for $x \in I$. Then for any $x, c \in I$ we have*

$$|R_{k,c}(x)| \leq C \frac{|x-c|^{k+1}}{(k+1)!}. \quad (5)$$

Proof. The estimate (5) is clearly an immediate consequence of (1). It also follows easily from (2): if $x > c$,

$$|R_{k,c}(x)| \leq \frac{C}{k!} \int_c^x (x-t)^k dt = -\frac{C}{k!} \frac{(x-t)^{k+1}}{k+1} \Big|_c^x = C \frac{(x-c)^{k+1}}{(k+1)!},$$

and if $x < c$,

$$|R_{k,c}(x)| \leq \frac{C}{k!} \left| \int_c^x (x-t)^k dt \right| = \frac{C}{k!} \int_x^c (t-x)^k dt = \frac{C}{k!} \frac{(c-x)^{k+1}}{k+1} = C \frac{|x-c|^{k+1}}{(k+1)!}. \quad \blacksquare$$

Observe that Corollary 1 is a more precise and quantitative version of Theorem 1 (under slightly stronger hypotheses on f): Theorem 1 says that $R_{k,c}(x)$ vanishes faster than $(x-c)^k$ as $x \rightarrow c$; Corollary 1 says that it vanishes at least at a rate proportional to $(x-c)^{k+1}$ and gives a good estimate for the proportionality constant. The best estimate is obtained by taking C to be the *least* upper bound for $|f^{(k+1)}|$ on I , but it is usually not crucial to compute this optimal value for C . What *is* crucial, however, and what some people find easy to forget, is the use of absolute values. It's the size of $R_{k,c}(x)$ that matters.

A typical use of Taylor polynomials is to evaluate integrals of functions that don't have an elementary antiderivative. Here's an example.

EXAMPLE 7. The function $f(x) = e^{-x^2}$ has no elementary antiderivative. However, we can do a Taylor approximation of e^{-x^2} and integrate the resulting polynomial. The efficient way to proceed is to consider the Taylor approximations of e^{-y} (easier to compute with!) and then set $y = x^2$. Since $|(d/dy)^j e^{-y}| = |(-1)^j e^{-y}| \leq 1$ for $y \geq 0$, the estimate (5) shows that

$$e^{-y} = 1 - y + \frac{y^2}{2} - \cdots + (-1)^k \frac{y^k}{k!} + R_{k,0}(y), \text{ where } |R_{k,0}(y)| \leq \frac{y^{k+1}}{(k+1)!} \text{ for } y \geq 0.$$

Setting $y = x^2$ yields

$$e^{-x^2} = 1 - x^2 + \frac{x^4}{2!} - \cdots + (-1)^k \frac{x^{2k}}{k!} + R_{k,0}(x^2), \text{ where } |R_{k,0}(x^2)| \leq \frac{x^{2k+2}}{(k+1)!}.$$

Therefore,

$$\int_0^x e^{-t^2} dt = x - \frac{x^3}{3} + \frac{x^5}{5 \cdot 2!} - \cdots + (-1)^k \frac{x^{2k+1}}{(2k+1) \cdot k!} + \text{error},$$

where

$$|\text{error}| \leq \left| \int_0^x \frac{t^{2k+2}}{(k+1)!} dt \right| = \frac{|x|^{2k+3}}{(2k+3) \cdot (k+1)!}.$$

For instance, if $x = 1$, we can take $k = 4$ and obtain

$$\int_0^1 e^{-t^2} dt = 1 - \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{5 \cdot 2} - \frac{1}{7 \cdot 3!} + \frac{1}{9 \cdot 4!} = 0.7382 \text{ with error less than } 0.0008.$$

A Few Concluding Remarks. Although Theorems 3 and 4 are most commonly used through Corollary 1, there are other things that can be done with them. There's a nice application of Theorem 3 on p.376 of Apostol, which we'll discuss toward the end of the quarter. For an extra twist on Theorem 4 that yields more estimates, as well as a sharper form of Theorem 1, see my paper "Remainder estimates in Taylor's theorem," *American Mathematical Monthly* **97** (1990), 233–235 (available online through the UW Libraries site).